IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
****

WRIT PETITION NOS.129 OF 2004,130 OF 2004,
131 OF 2004 & 132 OF 2004

WRIT PETITION NO.129 OF 2004

Comunidade of Chorao,
represented by its
effective attorney
Mr. Policarpo C.
D'Souza, r/o Chorao, Goa. ... Petitioner.

Versus

1. State of Goa, through
the Chief Secretary,
Panaji, Goa,

2. Deputy Collector, having
office at Mamlatdar
Bldg., Panaji, Goa,

3. Adish Rama Korgaonkar,

4. Smt. Sugandha A.
Korgaonkar,

5. Smt. Chandra Rama
Korgaonkar,

6. Dhaktu Mayenkar alias
Daktu Chodankar, all
r/o Sodotim, Chorao,
Ilhas, Goa, represented
by their duly constituted
attorney, Shri Vithal
Tanu Chodankar, r/o
Sodotim, Ilhas, Goa, and

7. The Administrator of
Comunidade, Central Zone,
Panaji, Goa. ... Respondents.

Shri R. B. D'Sa, advocate for the petitioner.

Shri A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Shri H. D. Naik, Additional Government Advocate for respondent nos. 1 and 2.

WRIT PETITION NO. 130 OF 2004

Comunidade of Chorao,

represented by its
effective attorney
Mr. Policarpo C.
D'Souza, r/o Chorao, Goa. ... Petitioner.

Versus

1. State of Goa, through
the Chief Secretary,
Panaji, Goa,

2. Deputy Collector, having
office at Mamlatdar
Building, Panaji, Goa,

3. Kashinath B. Surlikar,
r/o House No.843,
Karabhat, Chorao,
Ilhas, Goa, and

4. The Administrator of
Comunidade, Central
Zone, Panaji, Goa. ... Respondents.

Shri R. B. D'Sa, advocate for the petitioner.

Shri A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Shri H. D. Naik, Additional Government Advocate for respodent nos. 1 and 2.

WRIT PETITION NO. 131 OF 2004

Comunidade of Chorao,
represented by its
effective attorney
Mr. Policarpo C.
D'Souza, r/o Chorao, Goa. ... Petitioner.

Versus

1. State of Goa, through
the Chief Secretary,
Panaji, Goa,

2. Deputy Collector, having
office at Mamlatdar
Building, Panaji, Goa,

3. Smt. Kalavati S. Vadyekar,

4. Shri Devendranath S.
Vadyekar,

5. Shri Jitendra S. Vadyekar,

6. Shri Surendra S. Vadyekar,

all r/o House No. 833,
Carabhat Chorao, Ilhas,
Goa, and

7. The Administrator of
Comunidade, Central Zone,
Panaji, Goa. ... Respondents.

Shri R. B. D'Sa, advocate for the petitioner.

Shri A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Shri H. D. Naik, Additional Government Advocate for respodent nos. 1 and 2.

WRIT PETITION NO. 132 OF 2004

Comunidade of Chorao,
represented by its
effective attorney
Mr. Policarpo C.
D'Souza, r/o Chorao, Goa. ... Petitioner.

Versus

1. State of Goa, through
the Chief Secretary,
Panaji, Goa,

2. Deputy Collector, having
office at Mamlatdar
Building, Panaji, Goa,

3. Smt. Devikabai Vasudev
Chodankar, since deceased
through legal representatives:

3a) Shri Dharma V. Chodankar,

3b) Shri Krishna V. Chodankar,

3c) Shri Prasad V. Chodankar,
all r/o Sodotim, Chorao,
Ilhas, Goa, and

4. The Administrator of
Comunidade, Central Zzone,
Panaji, Goa. ... Respondents.

Shri R. B. D'Sa, advocate for the petitioner.

Shri A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Shri H. D. Naik, Additional Government Advocate for respodent nos. 1 and 2.

CORAM : P. V. HARDAS &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATE : 29th March, 2004

ORAL JUDGMENT (Per Hardas, J.)

Rule made returnable forthwith. By consent of the learned counsel for the parties, these petitions are taken up for final hearing at the stage of admission.

2. By these petitions, the petitioner seeks for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondent no.2, Deputy Collector and Sub-Divisional Officer, Panaji, to expeditiously adjudicate upon and dispose of the Appeals No.TNC/REV/APPL/6/2001, TNC/REV/APPL/5/2001, TNC/REV/APPL/8/2001 and TNC/REV/APPL/7/2001, pending before the Deputy Collector and Sub-Divisional Officer. The learned Advocate General representing the respondents, fairly states that the respondent no.2 would decide the appeals within a period of six months from today. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, on the basis of this statement, the grievance agitated by the petitioner in the present petitions does not survive for consideration. We accept the statement made by the learned Advocate General on behalf of the respondent no.2, that he would decide the appeals within a period of six months from today.

3. The Petitions are accordingly disposed of with

no order as to costs.

P. V. HARDAS, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

mc.